

21

C.52

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEARCH
OF SEIZED ITEMS:

- Apple Mac Pro Computer
- Apple iPhone 6 Plus Cellular Telephone
- Western Digital My Book for Mac
- External Hard Drive
- Western Digital My Book VelociRaptor
- Duo External Hard Drive

Mag. No. 15-850-2

OCT 05 2015
[Signature]

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of October, 2015, having issued an Order, dated September 30, 2015, granting the Government’s Motion to Hold Francis Rawls in Contempt and ordering that Francis Rawls be remanded to the custody of the United States Marshals to be incarcerated until such time that he fully complies with Judge Reuter’s Order of August 27, 2015, the Court issues this Supplemental Order to articulate the reasons for its September 30th Order. The Court issued the September 30th Order for the following reasons:

1. “It has long been recognized that courts possess the inherent authority to hold persons in contempt.” United States v. Harris, 582 F.3d 512, 514 (3d Cir. 2009) (citations omitted). “Civil contempt orders are intended to be coercive or compensatory in nature, and do not require, *inter alia*, a jury trial. Rather, civil contempt is imposed by the judge upon a finding that one has failed to comply with a valid court order.” Id. (citations omitted). “With civil contempt, the contemnor will be released subject to compliance with some condition. He is thus understood, in a by-now familiar observation, to ‘carr[y] the keys of his prison in his own pocket.’” Id. at 515 (quoting Int’l Union, United Mine Workers of Am. v. Bagwell, 512 U.S. 821, 828 (1994)).